UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,669	02/24/2004	Rodney S. Haaland	MP-297D	2691	
Edward J. Timi	7590 05/08/200° mer	EXAMINER			
P.O. Box 770			VO, HAI		
Richland, MI 49083-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)	<u> </u>		
			Applicant(s)	·		
Office Action Summers		10/785,669	HAALAND, RODNE	Y S.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hai Vo	1771			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ma</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		nerits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 12-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 12-15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath of	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the £ drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ. □ Inter-desire 0	(DTO 440)			
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/785,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. The art rejections over Kiniwa et al (US 5,173,421) have been withdrawn in view of the present amendment and response. Kiniwa teaches a cell culture carrier which does not have a shape or a configuration of a metallic casting. However, new ground of rejection is made in view of the newly discovered reference to Young (US 4,147,821).

2. The art rejections over Tran and Krug separately are maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tran et al (US 5,536,562). Tran teaches a sintered porous ceramic article having the pores impregnated with PMMA resin which is crosslinked (tables 1 and 2, column 8, lines 60-67, and claim 1). Tran discloses the porous ceramic article in the form of a cylinder (table 3). Kemp (US 4,318,437) evidences a metallic casting of a cylindrical shape. The claims do not require a metallic casting being part of a structure of a casting core, but a core having a shape similar to the shape of the metallic casting. Accordingly, Tran anticipates the claimed subject matter.
- 5. The art rejections over Tran have been maintained for the following reasons.
  Applicant argues that Tran does not teach or suggest a core having a shape similar to the shape of the metallic casting. That is not true. Tran discloses the porous

Art Unit: 1771

ceramic article in the form of a cylinder (table 3). Kemp (US 4,318,437) evidences a metallic casting of a cylindrical shape. Likewise, Tran discloses the ceramic core having a shape similar to the shape of the metallic casting.

- 6. Claims 12, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krug (US 5,460,854). Krug teaches an impregnated ceramic core having a fired porous ceramic core having the pores impregnated with polyacrylic acid (column 2, lines 49-50). The impregnated ceramic core for use in investment casting (column 1, lines 5-20). Likewise, the ceramic core has a configuration to form an interior surface of a metallic casting. Since Krug and Applicant are using the same acrylic polymer to fill the pores of the core, it is not seen that the acrylic polymer would not be a water-insoluble. The polymer is present in an amount of 0.25 to 1.3% by weight (column 4, lines 29-31). Accordingly, Krug anticipates the claimed subject matter.
- 7. The art rejections over Krug have been maintained for the following reasons.

  Applicant argues that Krug discloses an aqueous solution of a water-soluble gum, resin or sugar. Therefore, Krug does not teach or disclose an aqueous emulsion of a water-insoluble polymer. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The arguments are in conflict with what is shown in claim 13. Since Krug and Applicant use the same material such as acrylic resin for forming an impregnating solution, it is not seen that an acrylic resin could not be water insoluble. Further, Rothman (US 4,514,504) evidences that polyacrylic acid is a water soluble polymer. It is suggested that acrylic is removed from a laundry list to avoid the contradiction.

8. Claims 12, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young (US 4,147,821). Young teaches an impregnated ceramic material having a fired porous ceramic core having the pores impregnated with an aqueous emulsion of a cross-linked acrylic polymer (column 3, lines 60-65, column 4, lines 1-2, column 8, lines 1-5). The impregnated ceramic material for use in investment casting (column 1, lines 5-10). Likewise, the ceramic material has a configuration to form an interior surface of a metallic casting. The polymer is present in an amount of 1 to 5% by weight (column 5, lines 30-35). Accordingly, Young anticipates the claimed subject matter.

## Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1771

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hai Vo

PRIMARY EXAMINER

HV